Beyond One-Way Streets:

Building Reciprocal Networks for Educational Change

Marie Lockton, Anita Caduff, Alan J Daly, and Martin Rehm

When organizations work to improve education, it's tempting to focus solely on what they provide to schools and districts. However, research reveals that the most effective educational change networks operate on a different principle: mutual benefit and reciprocity. This insight transforms our understanding of how lasting educational improvement happens.

The Power of Two-Way Relationships

Recent research examining six equity-focused intermediary educational organizations[1] challenges traditional models of educational support. Instead of maintaining provider-recipient relationships, the most successful organizations cultivate partnerships where both parties actively contribute valuable resources, insights, and support. Studies have shown that reciprocated relationships tend to be more stable, grounded in trust, and equal[2]. These relationships create what researchers call "network closure" - well-connected systems where trust flourishes, transaction costs are reduced, deep exchanges prevail, and knowledge spreads more effectively[3].

> These relationships were not one-directional, but often mutually beneficial, with support and influence flowing in both directions, resulting in reciprocated relational ecosystems.

Dimensions of Reciprocity

The research revealed multiple forms of mutual exchange and support that create productive inter-organizational relationships:

Knowledge and Resource Sharing

- Partners regularly exchange ideas, research findings, and practical resources
- Organizations provide professional development to each other
- Teams collaborate on new materials and initiatives
- Partners share research results and lessons learned

Strategic Support

- Organizations serve as thought partners for each other
- Partners provide insights into policy contexts and audience needs
- Teams push each other's thinking with challenging questions
- Organizations offer feedback on new initiatives

Network Enhancement

- Partners connect each other to valuable contacts and opportunities
- Organizations introduce each other to influential stakeholders
- Teams create joint platforms for wider reach
- Partners amplify each other's messages and work

> Knowledge brokers did not need to be the expert of all things. Liberal sharing, borrowing, and building off of each other was necessary for true systemic and large-scale change.

Creating Cultures of Reciprocity

For organizations seeking to build more effective partnerships, the research points to several key approaches:

Start with Shared Value

Rather than approaching relationships as transactions, recognize that every partner brings unique insights and resources to the table.

Build Multiple Bridges

Strong reciprocal relationships often involve various types of exchange and interaction[4]. Look for multiple ways to both give and receive support.

Make it Cultural

Some organizations in the study made reciprocity a core value, noting that their team members would be "upset if they felt that their relationships were one-directional."

The Art of Balance

While reciprocal relationships are powerful, they require thoughtful intentional cultivation. Organizations must balance and rebalance their capacity to both give and receive support, ensuring that relationships remain sustainable over time. They also need to maintain focus on their core mission while remaining open to the variety of ways that partners might contribute to and shape that work.

DO YOU WANT TO LEARN MORE? THIS HANDOUT IS BASED ON A JOURNAL ARTICLE THAT YOU CAN FIND HERE: HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.3389/FEDUC.2024.1441832

A New Path Forward

For educational organizations seeking to maximize their impact, embracing reciprocity offers a path to more sustainable and effective change. Success depends not just on what organizations can provide to others, but on their ability to receive, learn, and grow through genuine, authentic, relationally focused partnership.

Endnotes

1. This research examined six equity-focused knowledge broker organizations that create and mobilize resources across different levels of the education system, from K-12 schools to state-level policy contexts in the United States.
2. Carolan, B. V. (2014). Social Network Analysis and Education: Theory, Methods & Applications. SAGE Publications, Inc.
3. Coleman, J. S. (1988). Social capital in the creation of human capital. American Journal of Sociology, 94, S95-S120.
4. Liou, Y.-H., & Daly, A. J. (2019). The lead igniter: A longitudinal examination of influence and energy through networks, efficacy, and climate. Educational Administration Quarterly, 55, 363-403.

sosnetlab@gmail.com

@SOSNetLab

To learn more about our work, visit

sosnetlab.com.

SOSNetLab